

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/690,600		10/17/2000	Peter B. Hoge	rton	53434USA8C.009	2568
32692 7590 05/27/2004				EXAMINER		
3M INNOV PO BOX 334	ATIVE	PROPERTIES	COMPANY		THAI, L	
ST. PAUL,		33-3427		A	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
					2027	

DATE MAILED: 05/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)					
	Advisory Action	09/690,600	HOGERTON ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Luan Thai	2827					
= 1	The MAILING DATE of this communicati n appo	ears on the c ver sheet with the c	rresp ndence address					
	THE REPLY FILED 18 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
6	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if							
	1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period and forth in							
	37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
	(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
ļ	(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially and							
	(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
l	(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
	NOTE:							
ĺ	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):	y [*]					
	4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would l canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	* * .						
	5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	econsideration has been consid	ered but does NOT place the					
	6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were newly					
	7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment (explanation of how the new or amended claims work.)	s) a) will not be entered or b) lid be rejected is provided below	will be entered and an					
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		- appointed.					
	Claim(s) allowed:	•						
	Claim(s) objected to:	*						
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>16-19</u> .		148 v					
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-15 and 20-23</u> .							
i	8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square appro	The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
1								
1	10.⊠ Other: (See the attachment)							
	Primary 5-/25/04							
	Prix	MARCHANIA (F.C.)	5/25/04					

Art Unit: 2827

(Attachment)

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 5/18/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the references cannot be combined to establish a prima-facie case of obviousness. The examiner disagrees with applicant's statement above because: Matsubara et al., as a primary reference, teach all the limitations of the claimed invention except for the process of bonding (e.g., metallurgically bonding) the conductive bumps to the integrated circuit chip. The process of metallurgically bonding is conventionally applied in the art, as taught by Japan Patent (JP-07130749A) and Yeh et al (5,607,099). Thus, the combinations of the cited references in the Office Action are proper. In addition, the missing claimed limitation of "metallurgically bonding" from the primary reference (e.g., Matsubara et al.) is taken to be a product by process limitation, and it is the patentability of the claimed product and not of recited process steps, which must be established. Therefore, when the prior art discloses a product, which reasonably appears to be identical with or only slightly different than the product claimed in a product-by process claim, a rejection based on sections 102 or 103 is fair. A product by process claim directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, In re Hirao, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See In re Fessman, 180 USPQ 324,326(CCPA 1974); In re Marosi et al., 218 USPQ 289,292 (Fed. Cir. 1983); and particularly In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964,966 (Fed. Cir. 1985), all of which make it clear that it is the patentability of the final structure of the product "gleaned" from the process steps, which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the

Application/Control Number: 09/690,600

Art Unit: 2827

patentability of the process. See also MPEP 2113. Moreover, an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not a patentable product, whether claim in "product by process" claim or not. Noted that Applicant's present invention is to provide a new way to simplify the flip-chip assembly process and enables to use of a broader range of materials thereby reducing assembly cost and improving interconnect reliability, as stated in the "Summary of the Invention".

Thus, the examiner maintains the position that claims **16-19** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsubara et al. (JP-402023623A) in combination with Japan Patent (JP-07130749A) and/or with Yeh et al (5,607,099), separately, as set forth in the last Office Action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luan Thai whose telephone number is 571-272-1935. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:45 AM - 4:15 PM, Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on 571-272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 2827

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Luan Thai

May 25, 2004

United States Patent & Trademark Office Primary Examiner Jef-6A15

Art Unit 2827 (571) 272-1935